May 7, 1954

Hon. Allen Dulles Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is the copy of a letter which I this date sent Secretary of State Dulles.

If we remain on the defensive in our conflict with World Communism all will soon be lost.

The enclosed material contains views and ideas personal to myself, but which I think worthy of your consideration. I hope that you will be able to find time to read this material.

Respectfully,

William J. Flittie

WJF-ms

STATOTHR

Mr. William J. Flittie

DESIMENT NO.

NO SHANCE IN CLASS CO

CLASS, ON ANY N. 21: T3 S C

MENY REVIEW CAPA:

ANTH: MD 70-2

DATE 3/3/8/ REVIEWER: 019973

BEST COPY Available

THE AMERICAN CHOICE

I am a veteran of World War II. In my time of service I did nothing remarkable. I only served within and without the country as ordered, and when the time came that the war was done, I was happy to remove my uniform and become, once again, a civiliah. I was no more than one of the many who made up the millions.

As such I would now speak, and with a terrible urgency within me to speak and be heard, for I fear that for which we fought, my fellows and I, is in imminent danger of betrayal by the leaders the American nation has set over it. My mind is a conflict of anger, futility and despair, and in it is the bitter realization that that which the American leaders have thus far done, and that which it would seem they intend to do, is not enough. America's puny international program of today is not that for which my fellows and I gave up the many years of our lives, and, in extrame cases, life itself.

like most Americans I losthed military service. I found it to be the complete antithesis of my upbringing in civilian America. But, discharging my citizen's duty, I served for five years during the late war. And, while I hope that henceforth and forevermore America's young men may serve her with better means than with weapons of death in their hands, I would gladly do as much again at any time we were immediately faced with a war of survival. The democratic ideal, admit adly imperfect among us, but surely better realized in this land than in any other, is more important than my little life or pet y ambitions. Behind this America of ours lies too much agony, too much striving, on the part of our forefathers, on the part of my dead comrades in arms, that I

Approved For Release 2002/02/13: CIA-RDP80R01731R000500240002-3

Approved Release 2002/02/13: CIA-RDP80RC \$1R000500240002-3 should ever wish to break faith. In the remote possibility of keeping the last feeble glimmer of the American ideal a living thing in this earth, I should count it an honor to participate in the last bitter battle of utter hopelessness against a superior enemy.

How far is the superior enemy from our gates? Not far, I think. That is the superior enemy? Atomic warfare in the hands of rathless men. The measured, desperate pleas of our scientists, the only men with sufficient understanding of stomic power to know the horror that is created among us, wern us to act, boldly and soon, for the time in which we may do so is short.

The atomic age has burnt upon an unready world. By their every word and deed, the leaders of this nation, and of all the nations of the earth, demonstrate themselves incapable of a genius of statesmanship to match the genius of the men who created atomic power. Their only enswer thus far, beyond a continued reliance upon armies and navys, to ay possibly as obsolete as heights in armor, has been the creation of the United Nations Organization, a helpless debating society.

reliance in the United Nations Organization, for they are men of peace. But I do criticize the thought process that permits them to rely upon an organization that clearly cannot, save through moral pressure, exercise any control over the great sovereign powers of the earth. And I do violently criticize the structure and powers of the United Nations Organization. I see in it only a clock behind which potential agressors may arm for wer-may, are arming for wer. I see in it only a stage upon which Machiaveilian actors may play-may, are playing, to dissemble the unwary. I see in it

Approved Release 2002/02/13 : CIA-RDP80R 31R000500240002-3

an organisation without power to compel even an academic consideration of vital world issues, and subject to a multitude of legal subterfuges to impede the search for aruth and decency. Admirable though its purpose, it is an organization, as presently constituted, that the world would actually be the better althout, for by its very presence it belouds the true perspective of the state of the world. It is, and may increasingly be, the haven of fools and the plaything of tyrants until such time as the forces of evil desire to throw off the clock and stand before a terrified world in their true light.

There is one thing which is absolutely crystal clear in my mind. Before it all other is ues pale to utter insignificance. Until it is solved, there is no foundation upon which men and nations may build their varying lives. COMEHO: THERE FUST NEVER BE A WIR IN THIS MORLD IN HIGH BOTH IDES HIVE RECOURTS IN QUANTITY TO THE SHOW OF STOMIC REARD. If this should ever be allowed to occur, civilization as we understand it, will most certainly join the ranks of other forgottem epochs. The very creators of the bomb are trying desperately and unanimously to warn us of the terror they have created. They know, contrary to the opinions of the self-styled "experts" that there is no defense save the defense of a guarantee that at this point in the course of the world's history, wars cease. To emphasize their warnings are the battered, lifeless bulks of two Japanese cities, destroyed in one blinding flash, and now mute and swful testimony to the terror that is loosed and, as yet, uncontrolled among us. And they further warn that those bombs were but juny forerunners of bombs that can be brought into existence, perhaps already are in existence. I do not disbelieve them.

Our leaders have chosen to ignore the warnings of the scientists.

They have done, and seemingly propose to do, nothing to guarantee

the peace of the world. I submit that our leaders fail us, that we
need new leaders of a stronger, tougher breed who, while pladed

to a highly moral concept of good will and decency, will be sufficiently
intelligent as not to place an entire reliance upon good will alone
in a world where a wage brute force and bad faith lark very much at
large. I submit that it is not immeral to demand and enforce, in
accordance with our American values, a world in which men can live
in peace and decency even though such demands should have to be
enforced at the cost of one more war-a wor to be fought, if such
should prove necessary, while we alone as in possession of that
were on before which all other was constant as nothing. I prefer
the concept of a war of prevention to the prospect of an almost
cert in war of desperation in the event we do nothing.

now preparing us for life in a world armed against itself, a world where deathly fear will be the constant companion of all people. Our military men now begin to explain to us how even an atomic war can be "won." The people of the nation and of the world, daily despairing more and more, increasingly resign themselves to another war in our time. The people of the nation and of the world are deserving of better than this.

I question the meaning of "victory" in an atomic war, unless the hollow spectacle of a handful of starving, miserable people standing upon the wreck of twentieth century civilization, and plunged into a new dark ages, be victory. Eather, I think the victors would be those fortunate ones who, in the struggle, attained death. I cannot conceive, nor have I any desire to

Approved Release 2002/02/13 : CIA-RDP80R 31R000500240002-3

live a life as devoid of meaning as would be life after an atomic war, for I have experienced the richness and promise of the present, roduct of many toiling generations. And yet, if once destroyed, modern civilization will not be restored in many times the lifespan of men now living. I submit that we will do well to ponder the implications of this problem with care, for this time we cannot afford or survive one mistake.

time was when bold experiment was justified, that time is now. hitherto men and nations could afford, and history tells us did constantly invest in, the luxury of worfare. Even the latest one cost but a trillion of treasure an tens of millions of lives. In the face of the entire world's wealth and lives, such a sest was still small. The paying of it has not permanently is aired our civilization. The world prior to now has been able to recover. Selfishness, viciousness, and absolute sovereignty have been, prior to now, things for which the world could pay the price.

but no longer. We must have a complete break with the failing precedents of the past, proved in repeated disaster, Granted, anything we do may fail, for no product of man bears the stamp of ultimate perfection. But what we are doing now is almost certain to fail. We are gambling with oblivion, and we have not, as yet, provided ourselves with even a reasonable hope of winning the gamble.

Thus far one great voice has been raised in warning, the voice of Winston Churchill. Unfortunately he is saddled with convictions of empire which we do not, most of us, admire, and he had made the great error of seemingly suggesting that America underwrite Fritain's empire. On these points his critics have succeeded admirably in

debunking his entire statement. But Winston Churchill's speech at Fulton, Missouri was the first responsible statement by any great leader of the democratic world concerning the dark future into which we are proceeding. winston Churchill sees the danger inherent in a world over some parts of which tyranny and terror still rule, and go forth unchecked to doaggression. He has called upon us to face the problem. I suggest that we will do well to face it squerelynow. Ind I further suggest that Winston Churchill's warning is more worthy of credence than are the unconvincing reassurences voiced by the present American administration.

I fear that Churchill's speech will end by being honored as the first trump of doom in a world that has not much longer to continue.

How many wars of self-defense, each time at the risk of our whole world, must we have fought before we learn that good will slone is not enough? We don't try to operate our internal society by good will alone, for we know, as a matter of simple common sense, that that would utterly fail. be provide laws, and a police force to enforce those laws, to keep irres onsible and dangerous elements in line. The outlaws are, and will always be, among us. and just as there are outlaws among us, there will be outlaws among nations, capable of wreaking untold harm upon the world, for so long as nations have absolute sovereignty. Curb them we must, very soon, and this time permanently, for we are now facing our last chance. This is the gamble with oblivion.

I do not hesitate to name the outlaw nations. They are Russia, and to a lesser extent only because they inherenally pose a lesser threat, Areentina and Spain. Nor am I sorry they can be identified, for now, before a general production of atomic power has some its

irreparable harm, we ar clearly confronted with the necess.
Approved For Release 2002/02/13: CIA-RDP80R01731R000500240002-3

Approved Release 2002/02/13: CIA-RDP80R 31R000500240002-3
ensuring a permanent peace. I pray that we not permit ourselves,
now our suspicions are once proused, to permit them to be lulled
by honeyed words from our potential adversaries. The lesson of
Adolph Hitler is so close that it would seem we could remember it.
We must not stop short of a solution which will guarantee world peace
insofar as it is possible for men to make such a guarantee.

No more do I hesitate to name the essentially democratic states of the world that, through their insistence upon outmoded and ruthless empire, so ravely impair the vois of decency and peace in the world. They are Britain, France, and the Netherlands, though others, including ourselves, are guilty in lesser degree.

Argentine and Spain stand convicted by the declarations of our own government, a government that, strangely enough, refuses to take positive action against them even while scknowledging their terrible guilt in contributing directly and indirectly to the death of American servicemen. The old men who lead us are too much swed by the ancient shibboleth of national sovereignty, it seems. Om the one hand we see the guilty leaders of Germany and Japan on trial for their lives, and on the other hand equally guilty men the honored leaders of nations. Somehow their decadent reasoning exonerates any bendit or gangeter who can draw about his shoulders the peculiarly charmed and inviolate cloak of an undefeated national sovereignty. In the case of Spain they permit a government, the child of fascism, installed in part by the action of the outlaw nations with whom we lately engaged in a death struggle, and in part by our own criminal negligence in discharging our world obligations, to continue to impress its will upon the unfortunate people of Spain. Like Fontius Fil te they wesh their hands after a gious statement decrying the wrong. In what way can this complete repudiction of

intellectual honesty be rationalized? I confess that I do not understand

until the recent conclusion of hostilities, our active ally in the greatest war of history, a w r in which we needed her sid, and she ours, and without which mutual aid it seemed improbable, prior to the discovery of the atom bomb, that any of us could have wen the victory essential to our respective ways of life. It has been the earnest grayer of the millions of the world that somehow mussia would identify herself, both by word and deed, with an earnest desire for world peace. Yet arraigned she must be. Mussia, by her recent actions, has exposed herself as a danger in the world, and no amount of hip service, now, to the ideals of the United Nations should be allowed to blind us to the realization of that for which Russia actually stands. It is well to perceive that war makes strange bedfellows.

nore to be trusted than Hitler's Germany. Her fault is inherent in her structure. She is a police state, without responsible public opinion, in which the will of the nation is the will of a single man. One can scarcely live in continued safety in a world where such a nation exists, particularly if the nation be a major one soon likely to succeed in arming herself with the weapons of atomic warfare. Even were the good faith of the present Russian dictator to be granted, which can hardly be the case, there is no guarantee that her future leaders will continue to be responsible. The last Napoleon is yet unborn. Probably the world will go on producing these power-crazed madmen up to the day of its end. But is there any reason why we democratic peoples of the world should permit a political sit ion to exist in any land were the seat Approved for Releas 2002/02/13: CIA-RDP80R01731R000-0240002-3

Approved of Release 2002/02/13: CIA-RDP80R 31R000500240002-3

of power for such a man exists ready made and weiting for the

bould-be conqueror to occupy it? he shall never be able to

prevent Napoleons from being born. We can try, however, to deny

them all power for all time.

Aside from the danger inherent in hussia's very political system, what is the record of our late ally? It must be candidly admitted by any fairminded individual, I think, that hussia's late actions cannot, even opulicistically, be considered those of a nation acting with a sole purpose of furthering peace in the earth. Rather, she behaves so the conqueror preparing for further wers of conquest. Already postessed of one-eighth of the earth's lands, and the certain realization that any reasonable requests the makes will be acceded to, she simply sieves what she desires by unilateral action. Power politics is in full swing in the world of today, and hussia is the class protagonist of the ugly game. Treaties and oblig tions are meaningless.

Russia has absorbed the Ealtic states contrary to the Cishes of those peoples. All of Bastern Burape is occupied, and though the occupation is perhaps justifiable, the utter, ruthless domination of those populations cannot be justified. In Yugoslavia another vicious dictatorship under Russian tutelage takes form. Turkey lives in constant dread of Russian military might. Iran, in violation of express treaty obligations, has had her territorial integrity violated, and though she earlier protested, her now unnatural silence is even more ominous. Manchuria, the territory of our common Chinese aliy, is reped, and remains occuried in violation of Russo-Chinese agreement. Other Chinese territory has been wrested from China to form so-called free rejublics, and treaties have been extorted to legalize the plunder. And over all

Approved For Release 2002/02/63 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000500240002-3

territory which Russia occupies, whether by right or wrong, as well as over Mussia herself, has descended what Churchill aptly describes as an "iron curtain." hat goes on behind this curtain We are not permitted to learn, though what few glances we have been permitted are disconcerting, for Stelin's Russia bears a striking resemblance to Hitler's Germany. From behind the curtain some occasional mouthings of hatro and vituper tion against our way of life, alternating with short periods of sweet reasonableness when mussia knows she has mushed matters too far for a nation not as yet armed with atomic weapons. And the regimented janissaries of the unofficially reborn Communist Internationale, once again in obedience to orders from Moscow, ery their paen of hate and non-cooperation in all the lands of the earth with the hope that their baleful influence will speed the coming of chaos and bring the day of revolution. Peace will not live for long in such an stmosphere.

No man can honestly believe that Russia's objectives are proper. On the contrary an examination of the Bussian record must fill one with foreboding. The least that one can conclude is that they are the actions of a nation not unwilling to have recourse to warfare to win her ends. And since this time so much is at stake, reasonable men cannot afford to gamble that the record is other than what it seems—the record of an aggressor, the record of a breaker of the peace among nations.

This cannot be meant to be the world for which the men of democracy fought their longest, most terrible wer. It reminds too much of the last helf of the last decade when naked force strode erro ant and proud through the earth breaking all decency to its iron will. It reminds too much of that which we but a short time

ago went to wer to end. In fact, it is the same old poison abroad in the earth once more. Only the names are changed. But this time I pray to Almighty wod that we shall not fail. It is not too late yet. It will only be too late when the first aggressor has difficiently armed himself with the weapons of atomic warfare. In have remaining to us three to five more years, our scientists tell us. Then, if I have failed, it will be too late. The end of our world, as we underest not it, will be upon us. Such little future as may remain to us will be at the sufference of our enemies. Our only hope will be the improbable hape that atomic was one will somehow not be used.

"A nation divided gainst itself cannot stand." and "This nation cannot exist half slave and half "ree." True of the nation in Lincoln's day, it requires no great exception to see that these statements are even more applicable to the entire earth in the present age. For the word "nation", then, I substitute "world", and in the rereading I clearly see the two great ills of my world. The one, absolute national sovereignty, rempant and unchecked; the other that peculiar sense of false superiority latent in all men which permits men and nations to arrogate themselves to be the overload of their brothers. Both must be relegated to history before there can be world peace.

I would see the United States, then, as her final act of complete national sovereignty, escape and enforce a program whereby both unchecked national sovereignties and domination of subject peoples be brought to a conclusion. I would see my nation enforce such a program, even to the extent of a war in which she used the weapons of atomic warfare gainst those who refused to guarantee

the peace of the world. And then I would see America henorably relinquish her power to a world government and subside to the level of a no longer completely sovereign member of a family of nations also no longer completely sovereign.

I am a are that some men say we dere not risk a future in a world where we have not our complete sovereignty. My answer is that we dare not risk a world any longer in which nations are sovereign. That era ended when the first tomic bomb fell on Hiroshima. And since we cannot, in conncience, set out to rule the world, nor consent to rule by another nation, we must agree to rule by the entire world.

Perhaps the one great objection to world government is the objection that if war be forever outlewed, the peoples of the earth will no longer have a means of forcing political change upon their reluctant rulers. It is a volid objection. Only through revolution and force of trms have most important colitical advancements been achieved. In such a way our own nation was born. But an adequate substitute exists, and has already been used with an encouraging degree of success in Greece. It is the internationally supervised election under the authority of which representatives of world government can supervise free, secret, and democratic alections at stated intervals in all parts of the earth, and between elections could ensure that no coercion or intimidation of a colitical opposition is tolerated. I would have no fear in a world where the exercise of e free vote was guaranteed. I would have no objection to having my elections supervised by a su erior government if I could know that the right to free government was being equally enforced throughout the errth.

This, then, is the bare framework of world government which

I propose: A government in the nature of a court, chosen by the peoples of the sorth from among their qualified juriats with due allowance for population, level of civilization, and area in allocating the representation. This court-government would operate by majority decision in terms of specific cases trought before it as do our appellate courts. There should be no legislative, and but a bare minimum of executive power, for these are the types of rower which feed on the meetyes and grow beyond original intendment. Independent and adequate revenues should be drawn directly from the peoples of the earth, and the powers of this court-government should be strictly and exhaustively delimited by a written constitution granted it by the peoples of the earth. And the duties of this body should be only those of interpreting and effectuating that constitution, and of issuing temporary orders pending such determinations.

> This constitution should give world government power to absolutely outlaw : Il recourse to armed w rfare;

hear end make final disposition of all disputes among nations which have a basis in conflicting soveregn rights;

supervise elections throughout the world;

guarantee freedom of speech;

guarantee freedom of religion;

guarantee freedom of information;

guarantee the free passage of private persons throughout the earth;

prevent any intimidation of the peoples of the earth;

decide, in the event of controversy, the measure of a free man eligible to vote in the different nations of the earth on the principle of maximum possible re: onsible sufferage:

hear and act upon the petitions of minority groups large enough to merit consideration who desire self-determination or amalgamation with another political unit, that other political unit acquiescing:

Guerantee free invesical access to the markets of the earth by the most convenient routes;

to be representatively chosen, and their arsumal to be in the United States solely for reason of the nation's political stability, productive power, and continued devotion to the ways of democracy and freedom, the nations of the earth having been totally disarmed;

have the sole custody of and control over atomic power except as it can be safely turned to peaceful endeavors:

administer those peoples of the earth clearly incapable of self-government until such time as they become politically responsible;

punish as criminals any person or group of persons who transgress the guarantees of the peoples of the earth.

It will be noted there is no suggestion of vesting any economic powers in world government. Not only is economic power of the essence of a nation's separate life, and properly a national function, but were economic considerations to be interjected, there could be no early agreement, and we must have agreement upon a stronger world governing body very soon. Nor is there any proposal that a universal system of government be prescribed. If America wishes to be a capitalist republic, Russia a communist republic, and Britain a socialist monarchy, that is a small matter, and one of national privilege. There must be one qualification however, and that is that all systems must be democratic, granting the government by which they are governed. In short, all systems must be democratic for only democracy is safe, and only through democratic government can the legitimate, continuing aspirations of the world's people

Approved For Release 2002/02/13 (CIA-RDP80R01731R000500240002-3

Approved or Release 2002/02/13 : CIA-RDP80R 731R000500240002-3

be realized.

The world government which I envisage would exist for but two fundamental purposes: To strip away forever the power of nations to wage wer, and to free all men and forever guarantee them in their freedom. Only to that extent should the sovereignty of the nations of the world be impaired, and that is all that is neces. Ty to accomplish the great purpose.

I think the leaders of the United States fail to apprehend the readiness of their pupie to support a truly forceful program having as its objective the permanent outlawing of war. I have talked to imericans in many walks of life, and I find on all sides a desperate anareness of the dangers of this post-war world. I find a readiness to assume the burden of any program which will offer a reasonable possibility of ridding the world of the fear which again pervades it. I suggest that the leaders of the United States are not worthy of the people they govern.

wars letely fought by the democratic world for no better purpose than to have won and prepare for snother war. I submit that the United Nations Organization, child of the late war, is at present a failure in which no responsible man can place his trust. I submit that we must have an international government which will personntly outlaw war, and soon, for there is little time.

she alone is possested of atomic weepons, she is the one gr at power in the earth. Beside her all others are as nothing. The may fail to comprehend the immensity of our power, but be assured, our enemies do not.

America alone has both the power and the innate decency to sponsor and enforce a program of true collective security among Approved For Release 2002/02/13 1 \$ IA-RDP80R01731R000500240002-3

Approved r Release 2002/02/13: CIA-RDP80R 731R000500240002-3
nations. If morica will do it, she will be trusted, and it will succeed.

Let the leaders of /merica draw a program of world government.

Let it be scrupulously fair with no additial privilege for this nation or any nation. Let the leaders of /merics, in earneadness and humbleness, submit the program to the nations of the earth.

But also let them make it known that while reasonable modification will be considered, no mutil tion which will in the least degree modify the fund mental purpose of the program will be teler ted.

Let America thus gather unto herself the true friends of world peace and world freedom, and then let all nations refusing to comply be branded outlaws and served with an ultimatum to comply or face certain destruction. Then, if need be, let there be were. Forld, peace and world freedom should not be made to writ upon the whims of the recalcitrant saters of unfortunite nations.

I have raised my voice. I know it to be a woefully weak voice, but I pray that others, adding to it and making it their own, will make it strong. Strong enough to be heard by the leaders of america. Strong enough to be heard by the earth. Strong enough to, in its strength, change the present suicidal course of world events.

America stands to by the sole hope of the world. Our obligation is crystal clear. The mentle of responsibility falls aquarely upon us. Ours alone is the power. Ours alone is the decision. We cannot avoid, nor can we share, the awful burden. The beginning of world perce, or the beginning of the end of modern civilization is the choice we alone must make. The future of the world from this point forward will be an American creation, for at this point in the

has en been, the erbiter of desting.

WILLIAM J. FLITTIE
UNIVERSITY OF MINERSOTA L STOCKEL
APRIL, 1946

May 7, 1954

Fon. John Factor Dulles Secretary of State Ashington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Dulles:

The conduct of American foreign relations, and the obtaining of necessary supporting military operations to put teeth into it is admittedly very difficult. Too little and too late is the dismal record of our country ever since Theodore Roosevelt's Administration. It seemingly matters not that more die and suffer in the long run, or more important, that we may even lose our freedom one day because of the reluctance to act positively which is thought to be demanded by political considerations. The very short range view is favored, and particularly since the end of World 'ar II has been a ghastly, murderous failure, now promising more death and destruction than the world has thus far seen.

I doubt that you can break this vicious circle. I doubt, too, that the Eisenhower Administration has any real inclination to break it, though now and then you utter words which would seem to indicate some effort to break the complacent attitude of our people. It is because I think that there is a small chance that you do not really believe in buying today's peace at the price of all the tomorrows, forever, that I am writing this letter.

In my opinion there remain but two real chances of avoiding total war, completely destructive to the United States and world civilization.

Approved For Relea 2002/02/13 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000 240002-3

way, that the mere existence of hydrogen and atomic weapons in the hands of both potential enemies increases the likelihood of peace. In fact, and wordy window dressing to the contrary, this situation return the advantage to the Communists. Their analysis of /merican colicy is that we will not use these weapons unless compelled to de so by a direct attick on ourselves or possibly some one of the lestern buropean nations. (I might add that I think this analysis correct myself, though I hope that I am wrong.) This leaves them free to continue appression in terms of their superiority in numbers of men and conventional weapons throughout most of the Eurasian land mass, with early opportunity to soon expand into the rest of the world.

In short, they will nibble us to death until one day we wake up and find we have lost our world by default. Then, perhaps, in a violent revulsion at our own mistake we will use these weapons, but it will be too late. We will have lost the world by attrition or will lose it in holocaust. Bither way freedom perishes.

Communism is again pressing hard, this time in Southeast Asia, and so soon after the Korean conflict that we had just as well realize that in terms of objectives we were the losers in Korea.

Both President bisenhower and yourself have said we must hold Indo-thins.

All right, let us hold. And with or without allies, let us end this sham of petty peripheral wars and throw down the gaunt-let to China (a nation not as yet in possession of atomic wearons),

which they will surely accert, for they are now too for committed to back down. But, instead of fighting enother foolish little war in Indo-China, let us prompel expand military action to thina proper and proceed to use in full the weapons of massive retaliation until the Chinese Communiste cry quarter on our terms. Then the world will have a concrete example of imerican massive retaliation, and no one will any longer be able to indulge the assumption that we won't use the terrible new weapons. Our foreign policy will have teeth instead of bluster, and perhaps, with such a terrible example before them, the Russian Communists will never start a world war.

I am aware that millions of Chinese will suffer and die if we do this thing. But if we don't do it, it will eventually be even more millions of innocent people, who moreover, are not as yet the enslaved tools of Communism. And millions of these millions will be Americans.

The Chinese people have become the weapons of our implementable enemy, World Communism, even as the Germans and Japanese earlier permitted themselves to become the weapons of Fascism. The United States, to save itself and human freedom, has killed millions of such people in the past for just such reasons. Let us cease our hypocritical squeamishness and false roral standards, which our own history so clearly demonstrates do not, in fact, exist and never did exist, and do what must be done while there is still time.

I am aware, too, that we risk Soviet intervention and world war in making an example of Chins. I do not, however, believe that the mussian leaders will commit suicide to save or aid Chins, and neither must President misenhower nor yourself, or you would not

Hon. John loster Dulles

-4-

5-7-54

have made your recent massive retaliation statement and placed it in such elear juxtaposition to the Indo-China problem as you did.

Or were you making bigger threats than you are now prepared to make good upon, a common and destructive fault in our foreign policy?

In any case, the present situ tion and forsesable world prospects are intolerable. The time is here to take enormous risks provided the risks have hope of success. Otherwise the United States and its way of life will progressively be pushed out of the earth until, backs to the wall we must, to cave our honor and avoid slavery, preciditate the war which will destroy civilisation.

The other menner in which we might seek permanent peace has no relation to the matter just discussed. Nor is it anything which offers a certain or early hope. Hence, while well to attempt, no positive reliance can be placed upon it.

have no love for their Communist masters. This feeling is found in all strata of Russian society, but should be strongest in the long-persecuted peasantry. This means it should also be strong, if latent, in the Russian Army, made up in most part as it is from peasant conscripts. The sons of the Russian peasants must have absorbed a great deal of their parents' hatreds.

If this analysis be in any degree correct, it follows that an Army revolt is possible if an Army leader can be found who will risk revolt, and I think it must be conceded that the only hope of successful revolution in Russis would be an Army revolt. The overthrow of a great modern police state by internal means can only be accomplished by turning its own weapons upon it.

There must be highly placed Army men in "ussia whe toy with the idea of an Army revolt. For one, life under a regime where, if one occupies the highest Army positions, the chances of coming to a natural death have proved less than 50%, is not salesfactory. It is even entirely possible that there may be high officers in the Russian Army who have come to believe that men should be free, with an opportunity to live in desency and honor, and that it is world Communism centered upon Soviet Russia that bars the way whereby the world might progress to such an estate. Or if not a man who believes in freedom, at least a man who believes that a world order should be created which does not, as does the present world order, carry the certain promise of eventual world destruction.

Our strongest efforts, probably through the Central Intelligence Agency headed by your brother, should be directed toward finding such a man and extending him all possible support. This support, for example, could include providing him with a prepared timetable for seizure of the government just prior to his revolt (a comprehensive plan being almost impossible to formulate inside Russia without grave risk of detection), and giving him material guarantees of food and sid whereby he might hope to secure himself in the affections of the "ussian people in the early stages of a revolt.

The mein qualifications are that he command armed troops (preferably in the Moscow eres), that he be intelligent and stable, and that he dare, alone, to suddenly give the order to seize the government, relying upon untested latent popular support for the success of his movement. He would be a lonely, fearful man.

Hon. John Fester Dulles

-6-

5-7-54

I am enclosing the copy of an article which I wrote eight gears ago. My purpose in enclosing this article is simply to show that I had better perception of the future than had most people. I do not say this boastfully, for the future is a fearful prospect and I wish I had erred in my then estimate. But I was right then, and millions, including thousands of Americans, have already needlessly suffered and died simply because of our failure to act when we should have acted. If such inaction is a crime, the American majority and its leaders are guilty of a terrible crime against the rest of the people of the world.

The situation has grown far more dengerous than in the recent past, but there yet remains a thinning edge of hope, though this time in terms of courageous gambles instead of the relative certainty of outcome that might have been ours several years ago.

No lucid man with any understanding of human history and human nature can honestly believe that permanent peace can be had in the present world framework. Let without a permanent peace we are confronted with the certain end of our civilization, and if we leave the initiative with Russia, the terrible new weapons will surely be used by both sides within the lifetime of most men now living. Unless, of course, we mean to surrender without a fight, which is unthinkable regardless of degree of destruction in prospect.

The petty diplomatic victories such as the one you recently won in Berlin are really meaningless. You are now finding this out at Geneva if you didn't know it before. I believe that you diplomats

Approved For Releas 002/02/13 : CIA-RDP80R01731R0005 240002-3

Hon. John Foster Dulles

-7-

5-7-54

have lost sight of reality and are participating in a ritualistic semi-literary gameAwhich confors upon you rewards and chastisements essentially in a vacuum, but nevertheless, to your minds, constituting a full and complete discharge of your reasons for existence. This is wrong. For God's sake act in terms of the real and earthy issues which are life or death, freedom or slavery, and act promptly for time is all but run out.

Respectfully,

JF-ms STATOTHR Enclosure William J. Flittie

Mr. William J. Flittie